

# A FREE SUBGROUP OF THE FREE ABELIAN TOPOLOGICAL GROUP ON THE UNIT INTERVAL

ELI KATZ, SIDNEY A. MORRIS AND PETER NICKOLAS

## §1. Introduction

We prove that the free abelian topological group,  $FA[0, 1]$ , on the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  has a closed subgroup topologically isomorphic to  $FA(0, 1)$ , the free abelian topological group on the open interval  $(0, 1)$ .

The analogue of this result for the free (non-abelian) topological group  $F[0, 1]$ , was proved by Nickolas [4], but his techniques rely heavily on non-commutativity and cannot be used here. (Note that in neither the abelian nor the non-abelian case does the obvious copy of  $(0, 1)$  generate the desired subgroup. Moreover, any copy which does must be closed in  $FA[0, 1]$  or  $F[0, 1]$ , respectively (see [1, 3].)) Furthermore, Nickolas's proof does not easily yield an explicit embedding of  $F(0, 1)$  in  $F[0, 1]$ . Our proof, on the other hand, is constructive and thus does yield an explicit embedding of  $FA(0, 1)$  in  $FA[0, 1]$ —and indeed the analogous construction explicitly embeds  $F(0, 1)$  in  $F[0, 1]$ .

## §2. The main result

We first record the necessary definitions and background results. These results are stated in the form we need rather than in their finest versions.

A Hausdorff topological space  $X$  is said to be a  $k_\omega$ -space with  $k_\omega$ -decomposition  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$  if  $X_n$  is compact,  $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $X$  has the weak topology with respect to the sets  $X_n$ . For example,  $(-1, 1)$  has  $k_\omega$ -decomposition  $(-1, 1) = \bigcup_n [-1 + n^{-1}, 1 - n^{-1}]$ . Of course every compact Hausdorff space is trivially a  $k_\omega$ -space.

**DEFINITION.** If  $X$  is a topological space with distinguished point  $e$  the abelian topological group  $FA(X)$  is said to be the (Graev) *free abelian topological group on  $X$*  if

- (a) the underlying group of  $FA(X)$  is the free abelian group with free basis  $X \setminus \{e\}$  and identity  $e$ , and
- (b) the topology of  $FA(X)$  is the finest topology on the underlying group which makes it into a topological group and induces the given topology on  $X$ .

**THEOREM A [2].** *Let  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$  be any  $k_\omega$ -space with distinguished point  $e$ . Then  $FA(X)$  exists, is unique (up to isomorphism), is independent of the choice of  $e$  in  $X$ , and has  $k_\omega$ -decomposition  $FA(X) = \bigcup_n gp_n(X_n)$ , where  $gp_n(X_n)$  is the set of words of length not exceeding  $n$  in the subgroup generated by  $X_n$ .*

---

Received 11 August, 1981; revised 24 December, 1981.

**THEOREM B [2].** Let  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$  be a  $k_\omega$ -space with distinguished point  $e$ . Let  $Y \subset FA(X)$  be a subset containing  $e$  such that  $Y \setminus \{e\}$  is a free algebraic basis for the subgroup,  $gp(Y)$ , that it generates. Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is a sequence of compact subsets of  $Y$  such that  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$  is a  $k_\omega$ -decomposition of  $Y$  inducing the same topology on  $Y$  that  $Y$  inherits as a subset of  $FA(X)$ . If for each natural number  $n$  there is an integer  $m$  such that  $gp(Y) \cap gp_n(X_n) \subseteq gp_m(Y_m)$ , then  $gp(Y)$  is  $FA(Y)$ , and both  $gp(Y)$  and  $Y$  are closed subsets of  $FA(X)$ .

**REMARK.** If in the above definition the word “abelian” is everywhere deleted then we have the definition of the *free topological group*  $F(X)$  on the space  $X$ . The above theorems remain valid if  $FA(X)$  and  $FA(Y)$  are replaced everywhere by  $F(X)$  and  $F(Y)$ , respectively.

We can now state the main result.

**THEOREM 1.**  $FA(0, 1)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $FA[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We shall show that  $FA(-1, 1)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $FA[-1, 1]$ . We let 0 be the distinguished point of  $[-1, 1]$ .

We now define a map  $\phi : (-1, 1) \rightarrow FA[-1, 1]$ . First we put

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} (n+1)^2x - n(n+1), & \text{if } x \in \left[ \frac{n}{n+1}, \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right] \\ (n+1)^2x + n(n+1), & \text{if } x \in \left[ -\frac{n+1}{n+2}, -\frac{n}{n+1} \right] \end{cases}$$

for each non-negative integer  $n$ . Then we set

$$\phi(x) = (n+1)x + f_n(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \left[ \frac{n}{n+1}, \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right] \cup \left[ -\frac{n+1}{n+2}, -\frac{n}{n+1} \right],$$

where “+” between  $(n+1)x$  and  $f_n(x)$  denotes addition in  $FA[-1, 1]$ . It is readily verified that  $\phi$  is continuous and one-to-one. Thus if we put

$$\phi \left[ -\frac{n+1}{n+2}, \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right] = Y_n \quad \text{then } \phi : \left[ -\frac{n+1}{n+2}, \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right] \rightarrow Y_n$$

is a homeomorphism, for each  $n$ . Observing that (by Theorem A)  $FA[-1, 1]$  has  $k_\omega$ -decomposition  $FA[-1, 1] = \bigcup gp_n[-1, 1]$ , that  $Y_n$  is compact, and that  $gp_n[-1, 1] \cap \phi(-1, 1) = Y_{n-2}$ , we see that  $Y = \phi((-1, 1))$  is a  $k_\omega$ -space with  $k_\omega$ -decomposition  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$ . It follows that  $\phi$  is a closed map and hence is a homeomorphism of  $(-1, 1)$  onto  $Y$ . The proof is completed by the following lemma which, in particular, implies that  $Y \setminus \{0\}$  is a free algebraic basis for the group it generates and that the conditions of Theorem B are satisfied. Hence  $gp(Y)$  is  $FA(Y)$ , and  $gp(Y)$  and  $Y$  are closed in  $FA[-1, 1]$ , as required.

LEMMA 1. With  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$  as above, let  $w = \varepsilon_1 y_1 + \varepsilon_2 y_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n y_n$ , where, for each  $i$ ,  $y_i \in Y \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ , and where  $y_i = y_j$  implies  $i = j$ . Then  $w \notin gp_n[-1, 1]$ . If  $y_i \in Y_m \setminus Y_{m-1}$  for some positive integer  $m$  and some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $w \notin gp_m[-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We shall use induction on  $n$  to prove that  $w \notin gp_n[-1, 1]$ . For  $n = 1$  the result is trivially true, so suppose that the result holds for  $n = p - 1$ , and consider a word  $w = \varepsilon_1 y_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_p y_p$ , as in the statement of the lemma. For each  $i$ , write  $y_i = \phi(x_i)$ , for suitable elements  $x_i \in (-1, 1)$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_p$ .

If  $x_i \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  for each  $i$ , it is easy to check that  $w = 2\varepsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + 2\varepsilon_p x_p \notin gp_p[-1, 1]$ . Otherwise, assume for convenience that  $x_p > \frac{1}{2}$ . (If  $x_p \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $x_1 < -\frac{1}{2}$ , and a similar argument applies.) We may then write

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \varepsilon_1((k_1 + 1)x_1 + f_{k_1}(x_1)) + \dots + \varepsilon_{p-1}((k_{p-1} + 1)x_{p-1} + f_{k_{p-1}}(x_{p-1})) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_p((k_p + 1)x_p + f_{k_p}(x_p)) \\ &= w' + \varepsilon_p((k_p + 1)x_p + f_{k_p}(x_p)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k_p \geq 1$  as  $x_p > \frac{1}{2}$ . It is clear that for each positive  $x_i$ ,  $f_{k_i}(x_i) \leq x_i$ , while for each negative  $x_i$ ,  $f_{k_i}(x_i) < 0$ . Therefore, since  $x_i = x_p$  only if  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_p$ , no occurrence of  $x_p$  is cancelled when we put  $w$  into its reduced form with respect to the free basis  $[-1, 1] \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence the reduced length of  $w$  is at least that of  $w'$ , plus  $k_p$ . But by the inductive hypothesis,  $w' \notin gp_{p-1}[-1, 1]$ , so  $w \notin gp_p[-1, 1]$ , since  $k_p \geq 1$ . This completes the induction.

Now suppose that  $y_i \in Y_m \setminus Y_{m-1}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Again suppose without loss of generality that  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ . If  $x_i \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  we have  $m = 0$ , so the desired conclusion is clearly true. If  $x_i \notin [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , we may assume for convenience that  $x_i > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $y_n \in Y_q \setminus Y_{q-1}$  for some  $q \geq m$ , and by the argument above,  $(q + 1)x_n$  appears in the reduced representation of  $w$ , and so  $w \notin gp_q[-1, 1]$ . In particular,  $w \notin gp_m[-1, 1]$ , and so the proof is complete.

It follows from Theorem B that if  $Y$  is a closed subspace of the  $k_w$ -space  $X$  and if  $Y$  contains  $e$ , then the subgroup of  $FA(X)$  generated by  $Y$  is  $FA(Y)$ .

Thus we obtain the

COROLLARY. Let  $Y$  be any closed subspace of  $(0, 1)$ . Then  $FA(Y)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $FA[0, 1]$ .

EXAMPLES. (i)  $FA[0, 1]$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $FA[0, 1]$ .

(ii) Let  $Y$  be the subspace  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [2n, 2n + 1]$  of  $(0, \infty)$ . Then  $FA(Y)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $FA[0, 1]$ .

(iii) Let  $Z$  be the set of integers with the discrete topology. Then  $FA(Z)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $FA[0, 1]$ .

§3. *The non-commutative case*

**THEOREM 2** (Nickolas [4]).  $F(0, 1)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $F[0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* The mapping  $\phi$  of  $(-1, 1)$  into  $F[-1, 1]$  is defined in an analogous way to the mapping of  $(-1, 1)$  into  $FA[-1, 1]$  in Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 1, with the exception that the lemma requires a somewhat different argument.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $Y = \bigcup Y_n$  be as in the proof of the above theorem. Let  $w = y_1^{\varepsilon_1} y_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots y_n^{\varepsilon_n}$  where for each  $i$ ,  $y_i \in Y \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ , and where  $y_i = y_{i+1}$  implies  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1}$ . Then  $w \notin gp_n[-1, 1]$ . If  $y_i \in Y_m \setminus Y_{m-1}$  for some positive integer  $m$  and some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $w \notin gp_m[-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Writing  $w = (x_1^{k_1+1} f_{k_1}(x_1))^{\varepsilon_1} \dots (x_n^{k_n+1} f_{k_n}(x_n))^{\varepsilon_n}$ , consider any pair of adjacent words

$$(x_i^{k_i+1} f_{k_i}(x_i))^{\varepsilon_i} (x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}+1} f_{k_{i+1}}(x_{i+1}))^{\varepsilon_{i+1}}.$$

If  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1}$  there cannot be any cancellation. If  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  and  $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$  then we have

$$x_i^{k_i+1} f_{k_i}(x_i) f_{k_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})^{-1} x_{i+1}^{-k_{i+1}-1}.$$

Of course for these values of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ . So the only possible cancellation is of  $f_{k_i}(x_i)$  with  $f_{k_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})^{-1}$ . Even if these do cancel, there is no more cancellation. The only other case is when  $\varepsilon_i = -1$  and  $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$ . Then we have

$$f_{k_i}(x_i)^{-1} x_i^{-k_i-1} x_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}+1} f_{k_{i+1}}(x_{i+1})$$

and no cancellation occurs.

Thus the reduced form of  $w$  contains  $x_i^{k_i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The remainder of the proof of the lemma is routine.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $Y$  be any closed subspace of  $(0, 1)$ . Then  $F(Y)$  is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of  $F[0, 1]$ .

## References

1. DAVID C. HUNT and SIDNEY A. MORRIS, 'Free subgroups of free topological groups', Proc. Second Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Canberra, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 372 (Springer, Berlin, 1974), pp. 377-387.
2. J. MACK, SIDNEY A. MORRIS and E. T. ORDMAN, 'Free topological groups and the projective dimension of a locally compact abelian group', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 40 (1973), 303-308.
3. SIDNEY A. MORRIS, 'Varieties of topological groups', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 1 (1969), 145-160.
4. PETER NICKOLAS, 'A Kurosh subgroup theorem for topological groups', Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 42 (1981), 461-477.

Cleveland State University,  
Cleveland,  
Ohio 44115,  
U.S.A.

La Trobe University,  
Bundoora,  
Victoria 3083,  
Australia.

University of Queensland,  
St. Lucia,  
Queensland 4067,  
Australia.